IN THE HIGH COURT OF BELIZE, A.D. 2023

CLAIM No. 433 of 2021

BETWEEN

TARPON COVE ESTATE OWNERS ASSOCIATION LIMITED

CLAIMANT/RESPONDENT

AND

LATAYNA SCOTT ALDANA

DEFENDANT/APPLICANT

DECISION OF THE HONOURABLE MADAM JUSTICE PATRICIA FARNESE

Hearing Date: April 26, 2023

APPEARANCES:

Kia Marie Diaz-Tillett, Counsel for the Claimant/Respondent Robertha Magnus-Usher, SC, Counsel for the Defendant/Applicant

DECISION RE LEAVE TO APPEAL

[1] Ms. Aldana seeks leave to appeal my 10 February 2023 decision dismissing her application to strike out the claim. I have declined to hear the leave application on the basis that I do not have jurisdiction to do so. The newly promulgated *Senior Courts Act, 2022*, vests exclusive authority to decide applications for leave to appeal with the Court of Appeal. Because the decision under appeal was rendered after the *SCA* came into force, I have no jurisdiction to decide this application.

¹ Act No. 27 of 2022 [SCA].

- [2] At a case management conference, I informed the parties of the oral decision I rendered in *Best Buy Limited vs. Flowers*.² I explained that having just ruled that I do not have jurisdiction to decide leave applications, it would be improper for me to set a hearing date to hear Ms. Aldana's application. The matter ought to go directly to the Court of Appeal. I am not aware, however, of the Court of Appeal having ruled on the impact of the changes to the *SCA* to the High Court's jurisdiction to decide the question of leave on appeals of its own decisions in civil matters. To assist Ms. Aldana with having her leave application promptly addressed, I explained I would prepare a written decision declining jurisdiction from which she could appeal if necessary.
- [3] My reasons for declining jurisdiction are thoroughly outlined in *Flowers*. A comparison of the *SCA* with the legislation that previously governed the Court of Appeal and the High Court reveal that legislators have intentionally decided that the High Court will only have a role in hearing leave applications of its decisions in civil matters when the question under appeal relates to *habeas corpus*. The claim in the present matter does not fall within the High Court's jurisdiction to decide the leave application because it is centered on the enforceability of a restrictive covenant.

Disposition

[4] For the reasons explained above, I have declined to hear the application for leave to appeal my previous decision not to strike out the claim because I lack the jurisdiction. Ms. Aldana must bring her application to the Court of Appeal. I have made no order as to costs.

Dated April 27, 2023

Patricia Farnese Justice of the High Court of Belize

PStarner

² HC Claim No. 480 of 2020 [Flowers]